# PEST CONTROL POTENTIAL OF HALOPHYTE MEDICINAL PLANT SALVADORA PERSICA L. AGAINST WHEAT PEST TRIBOLIUM CONFUSUM JACQUELIN DU VAL.

## Nimra Qureshi<sup>1</sup>, M. Farhanullah Khan<sup>1</sup>, Uzma Ali<sup>1</sup> and Tariq Javed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Toxicology, Department of Zoology, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, Govt. College for Men, Karachi, Pakistan

## ABSTRACT

The research aimed to investigate he toxic nature of *Salvadora persica* against *Tribolium confusum* 6<sup>th</sup> instar larval and adult stages. Root and leaf extracts effectiveness was determined in terms of mortalities.50% mortalities were obtained with 0.014mL/g and 0.16mL/g of root extracts against larvae and adults, respectively. Whereas LD<sub>50</sub> of leaf extracts was found to be 0.012mL/g and 0.12mL/g and 0.16mL/g against larval and adult stages, respectively. In comparison with the untreated control batch the protein contents depletion was observed up to 2% in larvae and 2.63% in adults.

Key words: Toxicity, Salvadora persica, stored grains pests, confused flour beetles, Total body protein.

### INTRODUCTION

Protection of the agricultural products is equally important as storage of these products for the survival of any nation in world increasing population (Oni and Ogungbite, 2015). Almost 40 species of insects are known to attack on stored grains in Pakistan (Khan and Marwat, 2004) among them. *Tribolium* is the most common, injurious pest of stored grain, stands 2<sup>nd</sup> in pest ranking (Khan, 2014.) *Tribolium confusum* Jacquelin du Val commonly known as confused flour beetle. It is found in temperate areas (Tripathi *et al.*, 2001). It is a cosmopolitan and polyphagous pest of stored grain products in home, grocery stores (Campbell and Runnion, 2003) where they cause heavy infestation by multiplying in to large populations. Its mode of feeding causes seed quality problem as it prefers to feed on germ part, endosperm and in the end broken kernels and grains, (Campbell and Reunion, 2003). Damaged products are of lower weight and nutritional value, bad taste results in economic loss which causes loss worth of millions of rupees in Pakistan(Khan & Ahmed 2003).) Various workers have worked on different aspects of *Tribolium* sp. They include Arthur *et al.* (2011); Lingampally *et al.* (2012); Vassilakos and Athanassiou (2012) and many more.

In Pakistan increasing demand of food and agricultural products lead to increased use of these pesticides as only way to meet requirements. On the other hand associated environmental problems including pollution, harm to beneficial plants and animals, soil degradation, ozone depletion and pest resurgence (Ali *et al.*, 2010) are the most serious problems of pesticide use. In the present day world of intense environmental awareness ,any activity contributing towards its contamination, must be curbed. Unchecked pest control activity with excessive pesticides usage is one of the internationally identified culprits. In view of the severity of these problems an increasing concern has developed for the safe alternatives of these pesticides. (Aswalam *et al.*, 2012).

Botanical or bio pesticides/phytopesticides are plant based pesticides and these are supposed to the safest alternate of synthetic pesticides and also of insect repellents, having good potential for insect control providing a cool breath as a new scientific front. *Salvadora persica* commonly known as peelu, meswak or tooth brush tree. It is a small tree or shrub with ever green leave, having pleasant fragrance and pungent taste. It belongs to family Salvadoraceae. It is a perennial halophyte and grows under extremely arid conditions. Miswak tree is always been a center of attention among researchers because of its pharmaceutical importance against many diseases (Akhtar *et al.*, 2011; Khalil, 2006). Researchers worked on several aspects of this plant. It has adverse effects on male and female reproductive system and fertility (Dermani *et al.*, 2003).

The main aim of proposed study is to discover new bio pesticides from easily available local plant, that is environmental friendly as well as safe for human beings. It is expected that proposed research would be helpful to set a guideline in pest management. The research may enrich the existing knowledge on effective, safe and environmental friendly protection of stored grains by the indigenous plant.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and rearing of test insects:

Initially *Tribolium confusum* was collected from GSRI, SARC, PARC (Pakistan agricultural and research center) university campus, Karachi. Collected insects were reared in the laboratory at controlled temperature  $30 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and  $65 \pm 5$  relative humidity. Sterilized wheat flour in sterilized glass jars was used as rearing medium of *Tribolium confusum*. Twenty five days old insects were used for the toxicological studies.

#### **Preparation of plant extracts:**

*Salvadora persica* roots and leaves were collected from University campus, Karachi. Root and leaf extracts of *S. persica* was prepared in a suitable organic solvent after Khan and Ahmed (2003) with some improvements.

Roots and leaves of *S. persica* were collected and washed and air dried and weighed 100g. 150 mL of ethyl alcohol was taken in two beakers each for leaves and roots, soaked separately in beakers for twenty four hours. After twenty four hours 15mL of ethyl alcohol was added in each beaker and left it again for twenty four hours. After total soaking time of 72 hours it was expected that all the contents are extracted, leaves and roots were ground. Grinded mixture was filtered with the help of filter paper and then homogenized at 1000 rpm for 15 minutes. Homogenized mixture was then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for twenty minutes. Supernatants were collected in separate flasks and left for evaporation at the rotary evaporator for 30 minutes at 40° C. After evaporation 50ml of root and leaves extracts were collected and stored at 4°C for further use.

#### **Toxicity testing:**

Contact method was used for toxicity estimation. Prepared plant extracts (Root and leaf) were used as stock solutions. Different dilutions of stock solutions were prepared. Doses were applied with the help of pipette and micropipette to petri dishes. A control was kept for the determination of environmental effects on insects.30 adult insects were released in each petri dish separately and left for twenty four hours under completely controlled temperature and humidity kept starving, to check the toxicological effects of applied doses on released insects. Mortality was recorded after twenty four hours of dose application. Calculation after each experiment was done to obtain percent mortality in each dose and lethal dose and data were subjected to Biostat software. Alive insects from each LD<sub>50</sub> were stored separately for further use in research.

## **Protein Contents Estimation:**

Effects of *Salvadora* plant extracts on adults and larvae of *Tribolium confusum* were determined by calculating the total protein contents. Stored Alive insects from each  $LD_{50}$  were taken and crushed in mortar and pastel and then homogenization was made in 2mL of de ionized water for 15 minutes. Homogenates were collected and the centrifugation was done at 5000rpm.Supernatants were obtained for biochemical analysis. For the estimation of total protein contents Biuret method was applied using Randox kit: (115305). Spectrophotometric measurements were taken. Test tubes were prepared and marked as blank, standard and sample.6 samples were there to be tested i.e Root and leaf extracts against adult and larvae.1mL of biuret reagent was pipetted out in all test tubes, then  $20\mu$ L standard and sample solutions were added in test tubes marked as standard and samples. Reagents were mixed and incubate for  $22 \pm 3^{\circ}$  C for 30 minutes. Absorbance at 546nm wave length of samples and standard against blank reagent were measured.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the present research toxicity of *Salvadora persica* was observed against *Tribolium confusum*. Mortalities were observed of larvae and adults of insect by Root and leaf extracts presented in Table 1,3,5 and 7. Mortality rate was increased by increasing the dose of plant extracts. 24 and 66% are the lowest and highest mortalities observed of root extract. 30 percent and 62 percent are the lowest and highest mortalities caused by leaf extract. Percentile probit mortality analysis is presented in Table 2,4,6 and 8. Decrease in total protein contents of insects caused by plant extracts were observed in comparison with total protein contents of untreated insects in Table 9 which has shown toxic effects of the tested plant.

Many researchers have contributed their research in discovery of environmental friendly phytopseticides and their results showed toxicity of plants against stored grain pests. Application of different parts of plant extracts leaves different imapcts on insects varies according to the mode of action, part of the plant and the method of application (Neoliya *et al.*, 2007). Study on effect of plant extracts against stored product insects by Márcio *et al.*, 2007; Abdurrahman *et al.*, 2008; Javed *et al.*, 2018) and against other insects like *Nezara viridula* by Durmusoglu *et al.* (2003) and *Psyttalia concolor* by Rehman *et al.* (2009) was done. Research reveals that plant based pesticides can easily increase the yield of crops and maintained safety of environment. Phyto pesticides also performed multiple functions against pests as Attractants in form of pigments and scents, deterrents (Repellents/Antifeedents),

as toxins effects growth of pests (Omar *et al.*, 2012). ). Root, leaves and bark extracts of *S.persica* studied for the malarial treatment and showed pharmacological properties for it (Innocent *et al.*, 2016).

| Doses | Insects | Mean       | Probit    | Difference | Chi-Square | Probit (y) |
|-------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| (ml)  | exposed | mortality% | percent   |            |            |            |
|       |         |            | mortality |            |            |            |
| 0.012 | 50      | 32         | 0.3431    | -1.1535    | 0.0776     | 4.5327     |
| 0.014 | 50      | 50         | 0.4792    | 1.0381     | 0.045      | 5          |
| 0.016 | 50      | 63         | 0.5998    | 1.0091     | 0.034      | 5.305      |
| 0.018 | 50      | 70         | 0.6991    | 0.0443     | 0.0001     | 5.524      |
| 0.02  | 50      | 76         | 0.7771    | -0.8554    | 0.0188     | 5.706      |

Table 1. Probit analysis of mortality data of 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Tribolium confusum* against *S.persica* root extract.

| Table 2. Percentile p | probit mortality | analysis of | Tribolium | confusum | larvae | under | toxic | effects | of S. | persica | Root |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-------|-------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| extract.              |                  |             |           |          |        |       |       |         |       |         |      |

| Percentile | Probit (Y) | Log                | Standard error | Dose       | Standard Error |
|------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
|            |            | 10[Dose(stimulus)] |                | (Stimulus) |                |
| 1          | 2.6732     | -2.2865            | 0.1173         | 0.0052     | 0.0014         |
| 5          | 3.3548     | -2.1568            | 0.0864         | 0.007      | 0.0014         |
| 10         | 3.7183     | -2.0877            | 0.07           | 0.0082     | 0.0013         |
| 16         | 4.0056     | -2.0331            | 0.0573         | 0.0093     | 0.0012         |
| 20         | 4.1585     | -2.004             | 0.0506         | 0.0099     | 0.0012         |
| 25         | 4.3258     | -1.9722            | 0.0435         | 0.0107     | 0.0011         |
| 30         | 4.476      | -1.9436            | 0.0372         | 0.0114     | 0.001          |
| 40         | 4.7471     | -1.8921            | 0.0268         | 0.0128     | 0.0008         |
| 50         | 5          | -1.844             | 0.0193         | 0.0143     | 0.0006         |
| 60         | 5.2529     | -1.7959            | 0.0173         | 0.016      | 0.0006         |
| 70         | 5.524      | -1.7443            | 0.0228         | 0.018      | 0.0009         |
| 75         | 5.6742     | -1.7158            | 0.0279         | 0.0192     | 0.0012         |
| 80         | 5.8415     | -1.6839            | 0.0343         | 0.0207     | 0.0016         |
| 84         | 5.9944     | -1.6549            | 0.0406         | 0.0221     | 0.0021         |
| 90         | 6.2817     | -1.6002            | 0.0528         | 0.0251     | 0.0031         |
| 95         | 6.6452     | -1.5311            | 0.0689         | 0.0294     | 0.0047         |
| 99         | 7.3268     | -1.4015            | 0.0996         | 0.0397     | 0.0092         |

Table 3. Probit analysis of mortality data of Tribolium confusum Adults against S. persica Root extract.

| Doses | Insects | Mean       | Probit    | Difference | Chi-Square | Probit (y) |
|-------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| (ml)  | exposed | mortality% | percent   |            |            |            |
|       |         |            | mortality |            |            |            |
| 0.08  | 50      | 12         | 0.2449    | -0.2439    | 0.0049     | 4.294      |
| 0.12  | 50      | 20         | 0.3902    | 0.4921     | 0.0124     | 4.7471     |
| 0.16  | 50      | 25         | 0.5053    | -0.2645    | 0.0028     | 5          |
| 0.2   | 50      | 30         | 0.5948    | 0.2605     | 0.0023     | 5.2529     |
| 0.24  | 50      | 33         | 0.6646    | -0.23      | 0.0016     | 5.412      |

The response of *Tribolium castaneum* to four plant extracts varied according to plant species. Larval growth was significantly inhibited when extracts incorporated to larval diet. Extract of *Peganum harmata* was the most potent followed by *Ajugaiva*, *Aristolochia baetica* and *Raphanus raphanistrum* (Jbilou *et al.*, 2006). Khan and Ahmed (2003) proved the toxic effect of extracts of neem fruit when tested against the insect pests *Tribolium confusum* and *Papilio demolus*. Many authors were interested to study the effect of plant extracts against stored product insects (Márcio *et al.*, 2007; Abdurrahman *et al.*, 2008) and against other insects like *Nezara viridula* (Durmusoglu *et al.*, 2003) and *Psyttalia concolor* (Rehman *et al.*, 2009). Essential oils from plants were studied as fumigants against *Tribolium castaneum* and their efficacy proved them as good alternates of synthetic pesticides for resistance control too (Nattudurai *et al.*, 2016). *Melia azedarach* caused mortality and repellency of larvae and adults of *Tribolium castaneum* after exposing to leaves and fruit extracts of it (Sabiha *et al.*, 2017). Six

plant species used as admixtures to control *Tribolium castaneum* and their effectiveness results in higher mortalities suggesting their role as good alternates in future pest management programs (Ahmad *et al.*, 2018).

| Percentile | Probit (Y) | Log10[Dose(Stimulus)] | Standard Error | Dose(Stimulus) | Standard Error |
|------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1          | 2.6732     | -1.7965               | 0.2547         | 0.016          | 0.0099         |
| 5          | 3.3548     | -1.5051               | 0.1808         | 0.0313         | 0.0134         |
| 10         | 3.7183     | -1.3496               | 0.1419         | 0.0447         | 0.0149         |
| 16         | 4.0056     | -1.2268               | 0.1117         | 0.0593         | 0.0154         |
| 20         | 4.1585     | -1.1614               | 0.096          | 0.069          | 0.0154         |
| 25         | 4.3258     | -1.0899               | 0.0795         | 0.0813         | 0.015          |
| 30         | 4.476      | -1.0256               | 0.0654         | 0.0943         | 0.0143         |
| 40         | 4.7471     | -0.9097               | 0.0448         | 0.1231         | 0.0127         |
| 50         | 5          | -0.8016               | 0.0387         | 0.1579         | 0.0141         |
| 60         | 5.2529     | -0.6934               | 0.0504         | 0.2026         | 0.0236         |
| 70         | 5.524      | -0.5775               | 0.0734         | 0.2646         | 0.0449         |
| 75         | 5.6742     | -0.5133               | 0.0879         | 0.3067         | 0.0625         |
| 80         | 5.8415     | -0.4417               | 0.1048         | 0.3616         | 0.0882         |
| 84         | 5.9944     | -0.3763               | 0.1207         | 0.4204         | 0.1184         |
| 90         | 6.2817     | -0.2535               | 0.1511         | 0.5579         | 0.198          |
| 95         | 6.6452     | -0.098                | 0.1901         | 0.798          | 0.3606         |
| 99         | 7.3268     | 0.1934                | 0.2642         | 1.5611         | 1.0093         |

Table 4. Percentile probit mortality analysis of *Tribolium confusum* adults under toxic effects of *S.persica* Root extract.

Table 5. Probit analysis of mortality data of 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Tribolium confusum* against *S.persica* leaf extract.

| Doses | Insects | Mean       | Probit    | Difference | Chi-Square | Probit (y) |
|-------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| (ml)  | exposed | mortality% | percent   |            |            |            |
|       |         |            | mortality |            |            |            |
| 0.004 | 50      | 30         | 0.28      | 0.9998     | 0.0714     | 4.476      |
| 0.008 | 50      | 38         | 0.4175    | -1.876     | 0.1686     | 4.695      |
| 0.016 | 50      | 50         | 0.5043    | -0.2169    | 0.0019     | 5          |
| 0.018 | 50      | 58         | 0.5661    | 0.6972     | 0.0172     | 5.2015     |
| 0.02  | 50      | 62         | 0.6129    | 0.3541     | 0.0041     | 5.305      |

| Table 6. Percentile probit mortality | analysis of Tribolium | confusum 6 <sup>th</sup> insta | r Larvae under to | xic effects of S.persica |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Leaf extract.                        |                       |                                |                   |                          |

| Percentile | Probit (Y) | Log 10           | Standard | Dose       | Standard Error |
|------------|------------|------------------|----------|------------|----------------|
|            |            | [Dose (Stimulus] | Error    | (Stimulus) |                |
| 1          | 2.6732     | -3.7994          | 0.6827   | 0.0002     | 0.0004         |
| 5          | 3.3548     | -3.2517          | 0.481    | 0.0006     | 0.0008         |
| 10         | 3.7183     | -2.9596          | 0.3742   | 0.0011     | 0.0011         |
| 16         | 4.0056     | -2.7287          | 0.2907   | 0.0019     | 0.0013         |
| 20         | 4.1585     | -2.6058          | 0.2469   | 0.0025     | 0.0015         |
| 25         | 4.3258     | -2.4714          | 0.2      | 0.0034     | 0.0016         |
| 30         | 4.476      | -2.3507          | 0.1595   | 0.0045     | 0.0017         |
| 40         | 4.7471     | -2.1328          | 0.0965   | 0.0074     | 0.0016         |
| 50         | 5          | -1.9296          | 0.078    | 0.0118     | 0.0021         |
| 60         | 5.2529     | -1.7263          | 0.1195   | 0.0188     | 0.0052         |
| 70         | 5.524      | -1.5085          | 0.189    | 0.031      | 0.0139         |
| 75         | 5.6742     | -1.3878          | 0.2307   | 0.0409     | 0.0228         |
| 80         | 5.8415     | -1.2533          | 0.2784   | 0.0558     | 0.0383         |
| 84         | 5.9944     | -1.1304          | 0.3225   | 0.0741     | 0.0602         |
| 90         | 6.2817     | -0.8995          | 0.4064   | 0.126      | 0.1359         |
| 95         | 6.6452     | -0.6074          | 0.5135   | 0.2469     | 0.3649         |
| 99         | 7.3268     | -0.0597          | 0.7154   | 0.8716     | 2.179          |

| Doses | Insects | Mean       | Probit    | Difference | Chi-Square | Probit (y) |
|-------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| (ml)  | exposed | mortality% | percent   |            | -          | -          |
|       |         |            | mortality |            |            |            |
| 0.004 | 50      | 18.        | 0.3646    | -0.2303    | 0.0029     | 4.642      |
| 0.008 | 50      | 21.        | 0.4232    | -0.1613    | 0.0012     | 4.7985     |
| 0.016 | 50      | 25.        | 0.4836    | 0.82       | 0.0278     | 5.         |
| 0.032 | 50      | 27.        | 0.5444    | -0.2176    | 0.0017     | 5.1002     |
| 0.064 | 50      | 30.        | 0.6041    | -0.2042    | 0.0014     | 5.2529     |

Table 7. Probit analysis of mortality data of Tribolium confusum adults against S.persica Leaf extract.

| Table 8. | Percentile | probit | mortality | analysis | of | Tribolium | confusum | Adults | under | toxic | effects | of | S.persica | Leaf |
|----------|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----|-----------|----------|--------|-------|-------|---------|----|-----------|------|
| extract. |            |        |           |          |    |           |          |        |       |       |         |    |           |      |

| Percentile | Probit(Y) | Log10[Dose(Stimulus)] | Standard | Dose       | Standard   |
|------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|------------|------------|
|            |           | _                     | Error    | (Stimulus) | Error      |
| 1          | 2.6732    | -6.3069               | 3.6214   | 0.         | 0.001      |
| 5          | 3.3548    | -4.9617               | 2.5475   | 0.         | 0.0019     |
| 10         | 3.7183    | -4.2444               | 1.9762   | 0.0001     | 0.0027     |
| 16         | 4.0056    | -3.6773               | 1.5262   | 0.0002     | 0.0035     |
| 20         | 4.1585    | -3.3754               | 1.2878   | 0.0004     | 0.0041     |
| 25         | 4.3258    | -3.0453               | 1.0289   | 0.0009     | 0.0048     |
| 30         | 4.476     | -2.7489               | 0.7994   | 0.0018     | 0.0055     |
| 40         | 4.7471    | -2.2139               | 0.4086   | 0.0061     | 0.0066     |
| 50         | 5.        | -1.7147               | 0.2396   | 0.0193     | 0.0112     |
| 60         | 5.2529    | -1.2155               | 0.5171   | 0.0609     | 0.0909     |
| 70         | 5.524     | -0.6805               | 0.9203   | 0.2087     | 0.856      |
| 75         | 5.6742    | -0.3841               | 1.1516   | 0.4129     | 2.9126     |
| 80         | 5.8415    | -0.054                | 1.4115   | 0.8831     | 11.3723    |
| 84         | 5.9944    | 0.2479                | 1.6505   | 1.7697     | 39.5461    |
| 90         | 6.2817    | 0.8149                | 2.1009   | 6.5303     | 411.9212   |
| 95         | 6.6452    | 1.5323                | 2.6726   | 34.065     | 8,013.9727 |

Table 9. Effects of Salvadora persica extracts on Total protein contents of Tribolium confusum adults and larvae.

| Extract of   | Observed protein contents of | Observed protein contents Of |  |  |
|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| S.persica    | Larvae (mg/mL)               | Adult (mg/mL)                |  |  |
| Leaf extract | Untreated 7.693(mg/mL)       | Untreated 8.55(mg/mL)        |  |  |
|              | Treated 5.62(mg/mL           | Treated 6.64(mg/mL)          |  |  |
| Root extract | Untreated 7.693(mg/mL)       | Untreated 8.55(mg/mL)        |  |  |
|              | Treated 5.693(mg/mL)         | Treated 5.92(mg/mL)          |  |  |

Alteration in total proteins of insects after treatment with plant extracts and essential oils was reported previously (Neoliya *et al.*, 2007; Renuga and Shayaraj, 2009; Al Qahtani *et al.*, 2010).

Al Qahtani *et al.* (2012) worked on *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* which is regarded as the most dangerous pest recorded in Saudia Arabia. They discovered the lethal effect of three plants named ginger (*Zingiber afficinale*), hail (*Elettaria cardamomum*) and shammer (*Foeniculum vulgare*) on it. All three plants applied in the form of dried powder and alteration in protein contents were recorded after their application. This research also favours the present research in which Salvadora extracts also reported to alter protein contents.

The most fundamental constituent of numerous plant extricates or their basic oils are monoterpenoids possessed fumigant properties which make them a decent component in pest control programs (Konstantopoulou *et al.*, 1992; Regnault-Roger and Hamrouni, 1995; Ahn *et al.*, 1998). Plant extracts reported to hinder the electron transport amid

respiratory process (Nicholson *et al.*, 1995; Márcio *et al.*, 2007). The plant separate material, surangin B gave off an impression of being a strong inhibitor of mitochondrial electron transport and delivers decrease in ATP in vivo when tried as plant extricate against pests of stored products (Nicholson *et al.*, 1995). We can reason that *Salvadora persica* plant is of insecticidal properties with a correlation with certain proteins depletion and it can be incorporated as a strong components in pest control and management programs.

## REFERENCES

- Abdurrahman, A. S., Osman, K. Salih and O. Ismet (2008). Insecticidal activity of the essential oils from different plants against three stored-product insect .*Journal of Insect Science*, 10 (21): 1-13.
- Ahmad, F., N. Iqbal, S.M. Zaka, M.K. Qureshi, Q. Saeed, K.A. Khan and M.B. Awar (2018). Comparative insecticidal activity of different plant materials from six common plant species against. *Saudi J. Biol. Sciences*, (in press).
- Ahmad, I., A. Shamshad and R. Tabassum. (2000). Effect of neem extract in comparison with cypermethrin (10EC) and Methyl parathion (50EC) on cholinesterase and total protein content of adult *Tribolium castaneum* (PARC Strain). *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Science*, 19A(1): 55-61.
- Ahmad, M. and A. Ahmad.(2002). Storage of food grains. Farm. Outl. 1:16-20.
- Ahmed, S., E. Soaad, E. Essawy, E.I. Mohamed and S. Ewald (2008). "Preliminary phytochemical and propagation trial with Salvadora persica L. Agric. For Res., 1: 135-138.
- Akhtar, J., K. Siddique and M. Mujeeb (2011). A review on phytochemical and pharmacological investigations of miswak (Salvadora persica Linn.). J. Pharm. Bio. llied Sci., 3(1): 113-117. DOI: 10.4103/0975-7406.76488.
- Ali, H., S.Ahmad, S. Jan and Safiullah (2010). Efficacy of different control methods against oriental fruit fly Bactrocera zonata (Saunders). ARPN J. Agric. Bio. Sci., 5(2): 1-3
- Al-Qahtani, A.M., Z.M. Al-Dafar and M.H. Rady (2012). Insecticidal and biochemical effect of some dried plants against *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (Coleoptera-Silvanidae). *The Journal of Basic & Applied Zoology*, 65(1): 88-93.
- Arthur, F.H., E.A. Fontenot and J.F. Campbell (2011). Evaluation of catmint oil and hydrogenated catmint oil as repellents for the flour beetles, *Tribolium castaneum* and *Tribolium confusum*. J. Insect. Sci., 11: 128.
- Asawalam, E. F., U.E. Ebere and K.C. Emeasor (2012). Effect of some plant products on the control of rice weevil sitophilus oryzea (L.) Coleoptera: Curculionidae. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 6(33): 4811-4814.
- Campbell, J.F and C. Runnion. (2003).Patch exploitation by female red flour beetle. Journal of Insect Science, 3: 20.
- Darmani, H., A.S. Al-Hiyasat, A. M. Elbetieha and A. Alkofahi. (2003). The effect of an extract of *Salvadora persica* (meswak, chewing stick) on fertility of male and female mice. *Phytomed.*, 10(1): 63-65.
- Durmusoglu. E., Y. Karsavuran, I. Ozgen and A. Guncan (2003). Effects of two different neem products on different stages of *Nezara viridula* (L.) (Heteroptera, Pentatomidae). *Anzeiger für Schädlingskunde*, 76 (6): 151-154.
- Innocent, E., S. Augustino and W. Kisinza (2016). Plants Used to Control Mosquitoes and Treat Mosquito Related Diseases in Maasai-land of Longido District, Tanzania. *European journal of medicinal plants*. 12(2): 1-12.
- Jbilou. R., A. Ennabili and F.S. Rachid.(2006). Insecticidal activity of four medicinal plant extract against *Tribolium Castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). African Journal of Biotechnology, 5 (10), 936-940.
- Khalil, A.T. (2006). Benzylamides from Salvadora persica. Arch Pharm Res. Nov; 29(11): 952-6.
- Khan, F.Z.A. (2014). Nutritional indicates of *Tribolium castaneum* and its response to plant extracts in relation to three types of flour. *Int. J. Agro. Agri. Res.*, 4(5): 51-56.
- Khan, M.F. and S.M. Ahmed (2003). Comparative toxicity of neem fruit extract and cypermethrin against *Tribolium confusum*(Cole optera :Tenebrionidae) and *Papiliodomelus* (Lepidoptera:Papilionidae). *Philipine J. Sci.*, 132(2): 109-114
- Khan, S.M and S.A. Marwat (2004). Deterrent / repellent effect of bakain (*Melia azadarach*) and *Calotropis* procera against lesser grain borer *Rhyzopertha dominica*. Pak. J. Entomol. Kar. 19:1-5.
- Khan,M.F. and S.M. Ahmed (2003). Comparative toxicity of neem fruit extract and cypermethrin against *Tribolium confusum* (Coleoptera :Tenebrionidae) and *Papiliodomelus* (Lepidoptera:Papilionidae). *Philipine J. Sci.* 132(2): 109-11.
- Konstantopoulou, L.L., L. Vassilopoulou, P. Mavragani-Tsipidon and Z.G. Scouras (1992). Insecticidal effects of essential oils. A study of the effects of essential oils extracted from eleven Greek aromatic plants on *Drosophila auraria*. *Experientia*, 48: 616-619.
- Kostyukovsky, M., U. Ravid and E. Shaaya (2002). The potential use of plant volatiles for the control of stored products insects and quarantine pests in cut flowers. 576 Volume on the Proceeding of International Conference

on Medicinal and Aromatic plants: Possibilities and limitations of medicinal and aromatic plant production in the 21<sup>st</sup> century).

- Lingampally, V., V.R. Solanki and S.S. Raja (2012). Induction of ovarian dysfunction in *Tribolium confusum* bysolasodine. *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences*. 2 (2):160-163.
- Márcio.D., C. Marcelo, C. Luiz, N. Raul, R. Mateus, A. Gerson and C. Júlio (2007). Plant compounds insecticide activity against Coleoptera pests of stored product. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, Brasilia*, 42 (7): 909-915.
- Nattudurai, G., S.S. Irudayaraj, M.G. Paulraj, K. Baskar and S. Ignacimuthu (2015). Insecticidal and repellent activities of Toddalia asiatica (L.) Lam. extracts against three major stored product pests. *Entomology*, *Ornithology & Herpetology*, 4(2): 1.
- Neoliya. N., S. Dwijendra and R. Sangwan (2007). Azadirachtin-based insecticides induce alteration in *Helicoverpa* armigera Hub. head polypeptide. *Current Science*, 92 (1): 94-99.
- Nicholson, R.A., A. Zhang and B. Surangin (1995). Insecticidal properties and mechanism underlying its transmitter releasing action in nerve terminal fractions isolated from mammalian brain. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 53: 152-163.
- Omar, K., N.M. Faraj, S.A. Malik and I.M. Al-Farhani (2012). Effect of some medicinal plants extracts and cypermthrin against Khapra Beetle (*Trogoderma granarium Everts*). *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 24(2): 120.
- Oni. M.O and O.C. Ogungbite (2015). Entomotoxicant potential of powders and oil extracts of three medicinal plants in the control of *Sitophilus Zeamis* infesting stored maize, *J. Plant Pest Sci.*, 2: 08-17.
- Regnault-Roger, C and A. Hamrouni (1995). Fumigant toxic activity reproductive inhibition induced by monoterpenes on *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say), a bruchid of kidney bean. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 31: 291-299.
- Rehman. J.U., X.G. Wang, M.W. Johnson, K.M. Daane, G. Jilani, M.A. Khan and F.G. Zalom (2009). Effects of *Peganum harmala* (Zygophyllaceae) seed extract on the olive fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) and its larval parasitoid *Psyttalia concolor* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Economic Entomology*, 102 (6): 2233-2240.
- Renuga. F.B and K. Sahayaraj (2009). Influence of botanicals in total head protein of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.). *Journal of Biopesticide*, 2 (1): 52-55.
- Sabiha, S., H. Ali, K. Hasan., A. Rahman, A. S. M. S and N. Islam (2017). Bioactive potentials of Melia azedarach L. with special reference to insecticidal, larvicidal and insect repellent activities. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology studies*, 5(5): 1799-1802.
- Tariq, J., M. F. Khan, H. Rana, H. Qasim, M. Faheem, J. K.Achakzai, M. S. Khan, S. N.A. Hashmi and M. Imran (2018). Toxicity of selected medicinal plants extracts against rice weevils. *Int. J. Biol. Biotech.*, 15 (1): 125-128.
- Tripathi, A.K., V. Prajapati, K.K., Aggarwal and S. Kumar (2001). Toxicity, feeding deterrence and effect of activity of 1,8,-Cineole from Artimisiaannua on progeny production of Tribolium castaneum (Coleoptera:Tenebrionidae). J. Econ. Entomol., 94: 979-983.
- Vassilakos. T.N. and C.G. Athanassiou (2012). Effect of uneven distribution of spinetoram-treated wheat and rice on mortality and progeny production of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.), *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Tribolium confusum* Jacquelin du Val. Journal of Stored Products Research, 50: 73-80.

(Accepted for publication July 2018)